Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/774,423	NAGASHIMA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
•	Marianne L. Padgett	1792	
All Participants:	Status of Application: Re	Status of Application: <u>Restriction required</u>	
(1) <u>Marianne L. Padgett</u> .	(3) <u>Secretary</u> .		
(2) <u>James Hamilton</u> .	(4)	(4)	
Date of Interview: 8 January 2008	Time: Afternoon		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applican	plicant's representative)		
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:			
NA			
Claims discussed:			
Those of record		•	
Prior art documents discussed: NA			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GE See Continuation Sheet	ENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WA	S DISCUSSED:	
Part III.			
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separ directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separ did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sum 	The examiner will provide a writtened as the record of the substance of the	ten summary of the substance interview, since the interview	
A Section of the sect			
Mariena Hold	·		
MARIANNE PADGETT PRIMARY EXAMINER			
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Appl	icant/Applicant's Representative S	ignature - if appropriate)	

Y

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

The examiner had noted that the office's records were inconsistent, with Palm saying that the restriction requirement had been mailed on 7/20/2007, while the scanned file showed no such documents or other documents since filling, hence the Examiner made a call to James Hamilton, left a message to this effect & asked if any written restriction requirement had been received. Applicant's files were checked & no restriction requirement was found therein, and it was noted that if properly mailed the document should have been received electronically. Public Pair was also checked & no copy of the restriction requirement found therein.

(Since discussions with applicant's representatives, it is noted that scanning personnel have since found the papers & scanned them into the application file, but this does not correct the question of appropriate mailing.)

Due to apparent errors, the restriction is being remailed & time restarted.